



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,126	09/22/2003	Jen-Show Lai	5403		
7590 03/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Troxell Law Office PLLC			ROBERTSON, JEFFREY		
5205 Leesburg I Suite 1404	Pike		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Falls Church, VA 22041			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlie	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/66		LAI, JEN-SHOW	
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Jeffrey	B. Robertson	1712	:
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN THE	ALLING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. utory period will apply ar rill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2  Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action or allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal mat		e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 2-5,8-11 and 13-15 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2-5,8-11 and 13-15 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to restrict from Papers  The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdrawn from lected.  Ion and/or election  Examiner.	consideration. on requirement.	by the Examiner.	
11)□	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	he correction is red	quired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	* *
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have to ocuments have the priority docustal Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in Auments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date informal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Interpretation

1. It is noted that in amended claim 8, applicant has amended the claim to read "no more than 10% by weight" of the branching agent. As set forth by applicant on page 6 of the response, this limitation has been interpreted to require the presence of a branching agent.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-5, 8-11, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claims 8 and 13, applicant sets forth that the branching agent has X groups equal to OH, NH2, COOH, or CONH. Applicant then claims that the branching agent is tetraacetate ethylene. It appears that acetate groups do not fall within the definitions of X that are set forth in the claims. Therefore, the claims are indefinite.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art references are persuasive in light of the amendments to the claims. However, in reviewing the claims, the examiner has presented the above issue. The examiner regrets any inconvenience to applicant, and apologizes for not raising this issue earlier. As a result, this rejection in non final.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,126

Art Unit: 1712

# Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

5. Claims 2-5, 8-11, and 13-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey B. Robertson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey B. Robertson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712